Some people think children should obey rules and do what the teachers want them to do. Others think controlled children are not prepared for children's their adult life in the further. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.

Some researchers assume students need to respect rules and follow teachers' instructions. Some others however assert vice versa and claim that limited children will not be ready for challenges during adulthood. From my point of view they had better be free to some extent. In other words, they should have an accurate curriculum and a codes of ethics at school besides some optional items.

Proponents opine that schools are the first academic places into which underaged generations step in and get to know must-do acts. It means that they get familiar with a new atmosphere in a formal society, wearing some certain uniforms and having a certain time table. In this way they get become aware of the importance of laws in each society and the necessity of observing them. Formulating a plan for students as a curriculum, teachers can save time by predicting the best route to teach.

On the other hand, opponents claim that bored and exhausted, offspring will not be eager to continue their educational program and this situation leads individuals to be either obsessive or and reluctant. Further and even more importantly, if the authorities impose numerous restrictions at schools, they would limit the growth of growing the sense of creativity in children. Last but not least is associated with people's rights. One important duty of teachers is raising people's awareness regarding their rights. That is why, offspring's leaders need to behave in an a democratic way.

By way of conclusion, I believe through providing some possible choices for young members besides a certain obligatory activities, they will be interested in doing following either their teachers' or their own choices.